



TITLE:

Visualization of exo- and endocytosis of ampa receptors during hippocampal synaptic plasticity around postsynaptic-like membrane formed on glass surface

AUTHOR(S):

Hirano, Tomoo

CITATION:

Hirano, Tomoo. Visualization of exo- and endocytosis of ampa receptors during hippocampal synaptic plasticity around postsynaptic-like membrane formed on glass surface. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience* 2018, 12: 442.

ISSUE DATE:

2018-11-21

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/235935>

RIGHT:

© 2018 Hirano. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.



Visualization of Exo- and Endocytosis of AMPA Receptors During Hippocampal Synaptic Plasticity Around Postsynaptic-Like Membrane Formed on Glass Surface

Tomoo Hirano*

Department of Biophysics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Enrica Maria Petrini,
Fondazione Istituto Italiano di
Tecnologia, Italy

Reviewed by:

Yoichi Araki,
Johns Hopkins University,
United States
Takashi Hayashi,
National Center of Neurology and
Psychiatry (Japan), Japan

*Correspondence:

Tomoo Hirano
thirano@neurosci.biophys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Received: 28 July 2018

Accepted: 05 November 2018

Published: 21 November 2018

Citation:

Hirano T (2018) Visualization of Exo- and Endocytosis of AMPA Receptors During Hippocampal Synaptic Plasticity Around Postsynaptic-Like Membrane Formed on Glass Surface. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 12:442. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2018.00442

Regulation of exo- and endocytosis of α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA)-type glutamate receptor (AMPA) plays a critical role in the expression of synaptic plasticity such as long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) at excitatory central synapses. Enhanced AMPAR exocytosis or endocytosis has been suggested to contribute to LTP or LTD, respectively. However, several unsettled fundamental questions have remained about AMPAR exo- and endocytosis in the basal condition and during synaptic plasticity: (1) Does the size of each exo- or endocytosis event, and/or do the frequencies of these events change during LTP or LTD? If they change, what are the time courses of the respective changes? (2) Where does the exo- or endocytosis preferentially occur in each condition: inside or in the vicinity of postsynaptic membrane, or in the extrasynaptic membrane? (3) Do different types of AMPAR, such as GluA1 homo-tetramer, GluA1/2 hetero-tetramer and GluA2/3 hetero-tetramer, show distinct exo- and endocytosis changes? To address these questions, we developed new methods to observe individual events of AMPAR exo- or endocytosis with a high signal to noise (SN) ratio in a culture preparation using total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRFM). In these studies, hippocampal neurons were cultured on a neuroligin (NRX)-coated glass coverslip, which induced formation of postsynaptic-like membrane (PSLM) directly on the glass surface. Then, a super-ecliptic pHluorin (SEP)-tagged AMPAR subunit such as GluA1 (GluA1-SEP) was expressed in neurons and its fluorescence changes during LTP induced by high frequency electrical field stimulation were observed with TIRFM, which showed different time courses of exocytosis changes of GluA1-, GluA2-, or GluA3-SEP in and around PSLM. In addition, a new method to detect individual endocytosis events of AMPAR was developed by combining TIRFM observation of GluA-SEP around PSLM with a rapid extracellular pH exchange method using a U-tube. Recent results on exo- and endocytosis changes of GluA-SEP during N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)-induced

Abbreviations: AMPA, α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid; AMPAR, AMPA-type glutamate receptor; AZLM, active-zone-like membrane; BSA, Bovine serum albumin; Fc, Fragment crystallizable; LTP, Long-term potentiation; LTD, Long-term depression; LRRTM, Leucine rich repeat transmembrane; NLG, Neuroligin; NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate; NRX, Neuroligin; PALM, Photo-activated localization microscopy; PSLM, Postsynaptic-like membrane; SEP, Super-ecliptic pHluorin; SN, Signal to noise; STORM, Stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy; SynCAM, Synaptic cell adhesion molecule; TIRFM, Total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy.

LTD suggested that suppression of AMPAR exocytosis rather than enhancement of AMPAR endocytosis primarily contributes to LTD expression, although the NMDA application transiently enhances clathrin-dependent endocytosis of GluA1-containing AMPAR.

Keywords: exocytosis, endocytosis, LTP, LTD, hippocampus, AMPA receptor, total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy, live-cell imaging

INTRODUCTION

Long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) at hippocampal glutamatergic synapses have been regarded as basic cellular mechanisms of learning and memory, and intensively studied (Malinow and Malenka, 2002; Kauer and Malenka, 2007; Collingridge et al., 2010; Hugarir and Nicoll, 2013). Originally changes in functional properties of α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA)-type glutamate receptor (AMPA) were considered as molecular mechanisms of LTP or LTD. More recently, changes in the number of AMPAR on the postsynaptic membrane attracted much attention of synaptic physiologists as primary mechanisms of LTP or LTD expression (Malinow and Malenka, 2002; Kennedy and Ehlers, 2006; Derkach et al., 2007; Shepherd and Hugarir, 2007; Hugarir and Nicoll, 2013). AMPAR exocytosis, endocytosis, lateral movement on the plasma membrane and trapping in the postsynaptic membrane regulate the number of postsynaptic AMPARs (Malinow and Malenka, 2002; Shepherd and Hugarir, 2007; Makino and Malinow, 2009; Opazo and Choquet, 2011; Hugarir and Nicoll, 2013; Wu et al., 2017).

Enhancement of AMPAR exocytosis was suggested to contribute to LTP expression (Shi et al., 1999; Hayashi et al., 2000; Passafaro et al., 2001; Kopeck et al., 2006; Park et al., 2006; Plant et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2009; Kennedy et al., 2010; Patterson et al., 2010; Hugarir and Nicoll, 2013). Exocytosis was reported to occur around the postsynaptic membrane and in extra-synaptic membrane away from synapses (Kennedy et al., 2010). In the latter case, lateral movement of AMPAR and trapping of it on the postsynaptic membrane are necessary to accumulate AMPAR in the postsynaptic membrane (Opazo et al., 2010, 2012; Opazo and Choquet, 2011; Chen et al., 2015). However, the extent to which each pathway contributes to LTP expression remains an open question.

There are four types of AMPAR subunits GluA1–4 (Hollmann and Heinemann, 1994; Dingledine et al., 1999). In hippocampal glutamatergic synapses GluA1/GluA2 hetero-tetramer and GluA2/GluA3 hetero-tetramer are the main postsynaptic receptors (Dingledine et al., 1999). On the other hand, GluA1 homo-tetramer is present in some types of hippocampal neurons, and its involvement in synaptic plasticity has also been reported (Iino et al., 1990; Plant et al., 2006; Lu Y. et al., 2007; Sanderson et al., 2016). Thus, there may be specific regulatory mechanisms for each of these subtypes of AMPAR composed of different combinations of subunits, but this has not yet been precisely clarified.

Enhancement of AMPAR endocytosis has been suggested as a primary mechanism for LTD expression (Beattie et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2002; Ashby et al., 2004; Lin and Hugarir, 2007;

Fernández-Monreal et al., 2012). Both clathrin-dependent and -independent AMPAR endocytosis occur, and the contribution of the former to LTD induction has been reported (Glebov et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2015). AMPAR endocytosis might occur not only in the extrasynaptic membrane but also in the vicinity of postsynaptic membrane (Blanpied et al., 2002; Kennedy and Ehlers, 2006; Lu J. et al., 2007; Tao-Cheng et al., 2011; Fujii et al., 2017, 2018). The involvement of GluA1 homo-tetramer in LTD induction has been suggested (Sanderson et al., 2016), although involvement of GluA2-lacking AMPAR in LTP or LTD has been debated (Passafaro et al., 2001; Plant et al., 2006; Adesnik and Nicoll, 2007; Gray et al., 2007; Lu Y. et al., 2007). AMPAR subtype changes might also take place during LTD.

LTP and LTD have been studied mainly by electrophysiological recording, immuno-cytological staining and biochemical assays combined with pharmacological or molecular biological manipulations and/or use of transgenic mice (Malinow and Malenka, 2002; Kauer and Malenka, 2007; Collingridge et al., 2010; Hugarir and Nicoll, 2013). The use of live-cell imaging techniques in the analyses of LTP and LTD mechanisms has increased. Technical advancements have made it possible to detect individual events of exo- or endocytosis of AMPAR. Development of a pH-sensitive variant of green fluorescent protein called super-ecliptic pHluorin (SEP) enabled selective monitoring of proteins in neutral pH conditions, such as on the cell-surface, but not proteins inside intracellular organelles with acidic luminal solution (Miesenböck et al., 1998). SEP has been widely used in studies on AMPAR trafficking during LTP or LTD (Ashby et al., 2004; Lin and Hugarir, 2007; Yudowski et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2009; Araki et al., 2010; Kennedy et al., 2010; Tanaka and Hirano, 2012; Rathje et al., 2013; Jullié et al., 2014; Tanaka et al., 2014; Fujii et al., 2017, 2018; Rosendale et al., 2017; Temkin et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017). Total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRFM) provides very high signal/noise (SN) ratio images by limiting the depth of the visualization zone (Axelrod, 2001), and has also been used in live-cell imaging studies of AMPAR dynamics (Yudowski et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2009; Araki et al., 2010; Tanaka and Hirano, 2012; Jullié et al., 2014; Tanaka et al., 2014; Fujii et al., 2017, 2018; Rosendale et al., 2017). A rapid extracellular pH exchange method combined with the use of SEP made it possible to record individual endocytosed vesicles (Merrifield et al., 2005; Jullié et al., 2014; Rosendale et al., 2017).

Recently, we developed a new method to further improve the SN ratio and spatiotemporal resolution of live-cell imaging data of SEP-tagged AMPAR. We induced formation of postsynaptic-like membrane (PSLM) directly on the surface of a glass coverslip, and then studied the dynamics of GluA-SEP

around PSLM during LTP or LTD expression (Tanaka and Hirano, 2012; Tanaka et al., 2014; Fujii et al., 2017). In this review, I will briefly summarize recent results on AMPAR dynamics during synaptic plasticity obtained using GluA-SEP, PSLM and TIRFM.

FORMATION OF PSLM ON NEUREXIN-COATED GLASS

Several types of cell-adhesion molecules are found at synapses such as Neuroligin (NLG), Neurexin (NRX), Synaptic cell adhesion molecule (SynCAM), EphrinB, leucine rich repeat transmembrane (LRRTM) and N-Cadherin. Among them presynaptic membrane protein NRX and postsynaptic membrane protein NLG have been studied extensively (Levinson and El-Husseini, 2005; Dean and Dresbach, 2006; Craig and Kang, 2007; Südhof, 2008; Bukalo and Dityatev, 2012). Both of them have different subtypes and various splice variants. There are five NLG genes NLG 1–4 and NLG 4Y, and there are six NRX genes NRX 1 α , 1 β , 2 α , 2 β , 3 α , 3 β . NRXs undergo extensive alternative splicing, which could potentially generate >2,000 variants. Among these variants, splicing insertion of site 4 in β -NRX promotes GABAergic synapse formation, whereas β -NRX without site 4 insertion promotes glutamatergic synapse formation. It is also known that NLG 1 with splice insertion at site B promotes glutamatergic synapse formation, and that NLG 2 is primarily found at GABAergic synapses.

NLG expressed in non-neuronal cells co-cultured with neurons induces formation of presynaptic structures in axons, while NRX when similarly expressed induces formation of postsynaptic structures in dendrites (Scheiffele et al., 2000; Graf et al., 2004). Furthermore, NRX attached to beads induces clustering of postsynaptic proteins (Graf et al., 2004). These findings prompted us to test whether a glass coverslip coated with NRX could induce formation of postsynaptic structures on the glass surface. We considered that such postsynaptic structures formed directly on and parallel to the glass surface would be an ideal model of postsynaptic structure which could be used in live-cell fluorescence imaging experiments using TIRFM (Figures 1, 2), because application of TIRFM to such structures would be efficient and effective. TIRFM can provide very high contrast fluorescence images by decreasing background signals. Excitation light reaches only about 100 nm above the glass surface in an inverted microscope equipped for TIRFM (Figure 2).

Glass coating with NRX was performed utilizing biotin-avidin interaction and an antibody which was described in detail elsewhere (Tanaka and Hirano, 2012; Tanaka et al., 2014; Figure 1). Briefly, glass coverslips were coated with bovine serum albumin (BSA) conjugated with biotin. Then, streptavidin, which binds to biotin, was overlaid. Next, the anti-fragment crystallizable (Fc) region of human immunoglobulin conjugated to biotin, which binds to streptavidin, was applied. Finally, NRX 1 β without splicing insertion at site 4 fused to the FC region of human immunoglobulin was applied so that it was captured by the anti-Fc antibody.




FIGURE 1 | Formation of postsynaptic-like membrane (PSLM). NRX, Neurexin; NLG, Neuroligin; BSA, Bovine serum albumin; Fc, fragment crystallizable of immunoglobulin. This figure is newly drawn based on our previous publications (Tanaka and Hirano, 2012; Tanaka et al., 2014), and copyright permission is not required.




FIGURE 2 | PSLM and normal synapses observed with total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRFM) or with conventional epi-fluorescence. (A) Scheme of PSLM and a normal synapse on NRX-coated glass. Excitation light (yellow) reaches only PSLM and the lower parts of dendrites in TIRFM (left), whereas it covers the whole area under the microscope in epi-fluorescence (right). At a normal synapse, postsynaptic PSD95 signal is apposed to presynaptic vglut1 signal. (B) PSD95-EGFP signal (green) and vglut1 signal (magenta) recorded with TIRFM (left) or with epi-fluorescence (right), respectively. Arrows indicate PSLMs that are clearly observed with TIRFM and are not accompanied by vglut1 signals, and arrowheads indicate normal synapses which are not clearly observed with TIRFM. These figure panels were first published in Tanaka et al. (2014), and copyright permission was obtained.

When hippocampal neurons overexpressing NLG 1 with splice insertion at site B were cultured on the NRX-coated coverslip, many punctate structures that contained PSD95, a marker protein of postsynaptic density,




FIGURE 3 | Changes of α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA)-type glutamate receptor (AMPA) subunit number by long-term potentiation (LTP)-inducing stimulation. **(A–C)** Averaged time courses of GluA1–3 fluorescence intensity in PSLM (red) and in non-PSLM (black) measured every 4 min before and after the field stimulation (arrows). Data in the presence of APV (+APV) are also shown (dotted lines). Error bars indicate SEM. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$ and *** $p < 0.001$. **(D–F)** GluA-septin (SEP) signals (green) and PSD95-RFP signal (magenta) are shown. PSD95-RFP was recorded before the stimulation, and images of the two signals were overlaid. GluA-SEP signals in PSLM and non-PSLM are indicated by arrows and arrowheads, respectively. Scale bar, 2 μ m. These figure panels were first published in Tanaka and Hirano (2012), and copyright permission is not necessary.


but that were not apposed to a presynaptic marker were observed with TIRFM (Figure 2). Such postsynaptic-like membrane (PSLM) exhibited accumulation of homer, another marker protein of postsynaptic density and AMPAR. We chose relatively large neurons possessing spines which presumably corresponded to pyramidal neurons for the experiments. Conditioning stimulation inducing either LTP or LTD increased or decreased the amount of AMPAR in PSLM, respectively (Tanaka and Hirano, 2012; Fujii et al., 2018).

EXOCYTOSIS REGULATION IN LTP AROUND PSLM

We reported that high frequency electrical field stimulation used to induce LTP increases the amount of SEP-tagged GluA subunit of AMPAR in and outside PSLM in a hippocampal culture preparation (Figure 3, Tanaka and Hirano, 2012). The increase is somewhat larger in PSLM. By the way, PSLM is

not apposed to a presynaptic terminal releasing glutamate. We considered that glutamate released from nearby presynaptic terminals activates N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors and induces the changes in the amount of GluA-SEP in PSLM, because an antagonist of NMDA receptor APV suppresses the changes.

One possible factor contributing to the increase in the amount of GluA-SEP on the surface is enhancement of GluA-SEP exocytosis. Individual events of GluA-SEP exocytosis can be observed around PSLM by high frequency live-cell TIRFM imaging (Figure 4). LTP-inducing electrical stimulation increases the frequency of GluA-SEP exocytosis. We reported a transient (about 1 min) increase of GluA1-SEP exocytosis frequency around PSLM and a subsequent increase for several minutes outside PSLM. We also found that GluA1-SEP exocytosis does not occur in the center of PSLM, but rather it occurs in the periphery of PSLM or outside of PSLM. Exocytic domain adjacent to the postsynaptic membrane was previously reported (Kennedy et al., 2010).



Changes in the cell surface amounts and in the exocytosis frequencies of GluA2-SEP or GluA3-SEP also occur during LTP expression (Tanaka and Hirano, 2012). GluA2-SEP and GluA3-SEP show different time courses of these changes (Figure 3). Co-expression experiments of GluA1-SEP/GluA2, GluA1/GluA2-SEP or GluA2/GluA3-SEP were also performed. Based on the experimental results, we proposed the following scheme as a mechanism of the expression of LTP (Figure 5). (1) Exocytosis of GluA1 homo-tetramer occurs particularly in the periphery of PSLM immediately after the conditioning stimulation. (2) A few minutes after the conditioning stimulation, exocytosis of GluA1/GluA2 hetero-tetramer increases for several minutes outside PSLM. Some of the exocytosed GluA1/GluA2 hetero-tetramers may move into PSLM by diffusion on the plasma membrane. (3) From about 20 min after the conditioning stimulation exocytosis of GluA2/GluA3 gradually increases outside PSLM. The above scheme suggests that changes in the distribution of AMPAR subtypes are likely to occur during LTP. I presume that exo- and endocytosis of GluA1/GluA2 and GluA2/GluA3 hetero-tetramers are in equilibrium in a basal condition.

Regarding changes of AMPAR exocytosis during LTP expression, some unclear or conflicting observations have been reported about sites of exocytosis, AMPAR subtype specificity and precise time courses. Some previous studies reported different molecular regulation mechanisms between constitutive AMPAR exocytosis and regulated exocytosis during LTP induction (Ahmad et al., 2012; Temkin et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017). Yudowski et al. (2007), Lin et al. (2009) and Makino and Malinow (2009) reported that the majority of GluA1 exocytosis occurred in extrasynaptic membrane, whereas Kennedy et al. (2010) found exocytic domains adjacent to postsynaptic density. The involvement of GluA2-lacking AMPAR such as GluA1 homo-tetramer in LTP has also been controversial (Passafaro et al., 2001; Plant et al., 2006; Adesnik and Nicoll, 2007; Gray et al., 2007; Lu Y. et al., 2007). Furthermore, there has been little precise information about how exocytosis of each subtype of AMPAR, such as GluA1/2 or GluA2/3 hetero-tetramer changes during LTP expression. Tanaka and Hirano (2012) provided some answers or information regarding these questions. However, I would like to note the following. First, PSLM is an artificial structure and may not necessarily express all normal functions of hippocampal glutamatergic postsynaptic membrane. Second, over-expressed GluA1-SEP might affect

normal cellular processes. These points will be discussed later.

ENDO-AND EXOCYTOSIS REGULATION DURING LTD AROUND PSLM

NMDA application induces LTD in hippocampal culture preparations, which is accompanied by a decrease in amount of cell-surface AMPAR (Lee et al., 1998; Beattie et al., 2000; Collingridge et al., 2010; Fernández-Monreal et al., 2012). Enhancement of clathrin-dependent endocytosis has been considered to contribute to LTD expression (Glebov et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2015). In order to obtain better understanding of the process of LTD expression, Fujii et al. (2017, 2018) used PSLM for analyses of AMPAR endocytosis during LTD. Individual endocytic events of cell surface molecules, including AMPAR, have been detected by the combination of a rapid extracellular pH change method with the use of SEP (Jullié et al., 2014; Rosendale et al., 2017). The extracellular pH change was performed with a θ tube in these studies. We used a U-tube instead of a θ tube for the extracellular pH exchange (Fujii et al., 2017, 2018; Figure 6). The use of a U-tube enables us to remove the applied solution from the experimental chamber, although the speed of solution exchange is somewhat slower than that of a method using a θ tube. Using the combination of rapid extracellular pH change and GluA1-SEP, individual events of GluA1-SEP endocytosis were observed around PSLM.

This combination method is also useful to precisely determine the amount of cell surface AMPAR, because some SEP fluorescence arises from molecules located in endoplasmic reticulum with relatively neutral luminal pH (Paroutis et al., 2004; Rathje et al., 2013). By subtracting fluorescence signals at pH 5.5 from those at pH 7.3, cell-surface signals can be isolated. This method allowed us to precisely analyze cell-surface amounts of GluA1-SEP and GluA2-SEP during LTD expression (Fujii et al., 2018). The thus estimated cell-surface amounts of both GluA1-SEP and GluA2-SEP gradually decrease after the NMDA application. The decrease of GluA1-SEP is sustained for more than 30 min, while that of GluA2-SEP tends to recover (Fujii et al., 2018).

The LTD-inducing NMDA application transiently increases the size of individual GluA1-SEP endocytic events and also the frequency of GluA1-SEP endocytosis for about 1 min. Interestingly this transiently enhanced large endocytosis is clathrin-dependent, whereas constitutive endocytosis of GluA1-SEP does not depend on clathrin (Fujii et al., 2017, 2018). This result is consistent with a previous study reporting that basal endocytosis of AMPAR does not depend on clathrin, but that the NMDA-induced endocytosis depends on clathrin (Glebov et al., 2015). Thus, there are at least two independent endocytosis pathways for AMPAR. We also reported that clathrin-dependent GluA1-SEP endocytosis induced by the NMDA application preferentially takes place in the periphery of PSLM, which is likely to correspond to the endocytic zone adjacent to the postsynaptic membrane (Blanpied et al., 2002; Lu J. et al., 2007).

Transient enhancement of GluA1-SEP endocytosis seems to be insufficient to explain slowly developing LTD

LTP




FIGURE 5 | Scheme of exocytosis changes of each AMPAR subtype during LTP expression. In a basal condition (Basal), exo- and endocytosis of GluA1/GluA2 and GluA2/GluA3 hetero-tetramer are in equilibrium. Soon after the high frequency electrical stimulation (Transient, around 1 min after the stimulation), exocytosis of GluA1 homo-tetramer occurs in the periphery of PSLM (red arrow), and exocytosis of GluA1/GluA2 increases outside PSLM (blue arrow). In the following period (Intermediate, about 3–10 min after the stimulation), exocytosis of GluA1/GluA2 increases outside PSLM (blue arrow). Finally (Late, about 20 min after the stimulation) exocytosis of GluA2/GluA3 increases outside PSLM (blue arrow). Some AMPAR exocytosed outside PSLM are likely to move into PSLM by lateral diffusion on the plasma membrane. This figure is newly drawn based on our previous publication (Tanaka and Hirano, 2012), and copyright permission is not required.





FIGURE 6 | Rapid extracellular pH exchange method using a U-tube and detection of individual AMPAR endocytosis. When a bulb on a U-tube is open (Bulb open), the pH 6.0 solution flows inside U-tube and the extracellular pH 7.3 solution is also soaked into the U-tube. When the bulb is closed (Bulb closed), the intra U-tube pH 6.0 solution leaks out to the extracellular solution. In this pH 6.0 condition, only SEP signals from intracellular vesicles with near-neutral luminal pH such as those immediately after endocytosis can be detected. This figure is newly drawn based on our previous publication (Fujii et al., 2017), and copyright permission is not required.

expression. Considering that the cell-surface amounts of molecules are regulated by the balance of endo- and exocytosis, we examined changes of GluA1-SEP exocytosis after the LTD-inducing NMDA application (Fujii et al., 2018). We found that after the NMDA application, GluA1-SEP exocytosis is transiently enhanced and then decreased. Taking all these results together, it was suggested that sustained suppression of AMPAR exocytosis, rather than enhanced

endocytosis, plays a predominant role in LTD expression (Figure 7).

In addition, the cell-surface amount, and endo- and exocytosis of GluA2-SEP after the NMDA application were examined. We showed that GluA2-SEP exhibits different temporal profiles from those of GluA1-SEP, suggesting differential regulation of GluA2-SEP. However, how each type of AMPAR consisting of GluA1–3, such as GluA1/2 or



GluA2/3 hetero-tetramer, changes during LTD has not been reported. Transient and simultaneous enhancement of both exo- and endocytosis of GluA1 after the onset of NMDA application might contribute to substitutions of AMPAR subtypes. GluA2-containing AMPAR might be replaced by Ca^{2+} permeable AMPAR lacking GluA2 (Sanderson et al., 2016).

Importantly, similar changes of GluA1-SEP dynamics during LTD expression were observed in conventional synapses. Using oblique illumination, we studied the exo- and endocytosis changes of GluA1-SEP around conventional synapses in hippocampal neurons cultured on glass that was not coated with NRX (Fujii et al., 2018). Although, the SN ratio of fluorescence images of GluA1-SEP around synapses observed with oblique illumination was inferior to that obtained using PSLM and TIRFM, qualitatively similar results were obtained.

LATERAL MOVEMENT OF AMPAR ON THE PLASMA MEMBRANE

AMPA moves around on the plasma membrane by lateral diffusion, and this movement has been studied by live-cell imaging of a fluorescent quantum dot attached to AMPAR (Bats et al., 2007; Groc et al., 2008). Diffusion is much faster in extrasynaptic membrane than in postsynaptic membrane. Notably, there is little movement of AMPAR in the postsynaptic membrane for a long time. Thus, AMPAR can be trapped at a postsynaptic membrane. Together with the balance of exo- and endocytosis, the efficiency of trapping of AMPAR at a postsynaptic membrane or the balance of coming-in and going-out of AMPAR to and from a postsynaptic membrane

should influence the amount of AMPAR at a postsynaptic membrane (Opazo et al., 2010, 2012; Opazo and Choquet, 2011; Chen et al., 2015).

MERITS AND DEMERITS OF PSLM

High SN ratio images of fluorescent molecules can be obtained around PSLM with TIRFM through the reduction of background signals (Figure 2). Parallel formation of PSLM on the glass surface enables a simple interpretation of imaging data about how synaptic proteins are localized in and around PSLM. PSLM is also stable and does not move, whereas dendritic spines in which postsynaptic membrane is located occasionally move in culture and *in vivo* (Deng and Dunaevsky, 2005). In addition, PSLM can be found much more easily under TIRFM than conventional postsynaptic membranes (Tanaka et al., 2014). These points are significant merits of using PSLM for analyses of postsynaptic processes in a basal condition and during synaptic plasticity.

However, PSLM is certainly an artificial structure deficient in interaction with presynaptic structures, which could potentially affect some functions of postsynaptic membrane. Thus, certain care should be taken in interpretation of results obtained using PSLM. Nevertheless, PSLM retains essential properties of postsynaptic membrane, as evidenced by the accumulation of postsynaptic proteins such as PSD95 and homer, and dynamic changes of the amount of AMPAR relevant to the expression of LTP and LTD. Furthermore, it was demonstrated that exo- and endocytic changes of GluA1-SEP during LTD at conventional postsynaptic membranes were qualitatively similar to those observed at PSLM as explained above Fujii et al. (2018). Thus,

PSLM can be regarded as a useful experimental model and can provide guiding results and/or ideas that would be worth rigorously examining at conventional synapses.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

There are many different types of synapses. Some are excitatory, and the others are inhibitory. There are also large differences in presynaptic transmitter release probability among synapses, which is likely to affect postsynaptic properties (Konnerth et al., 1990; Miyawaki and Hirano, 2011; Biederer et al., 2017). Synapses between a pair of neurons often change their characteristics during development (Pouzat and Hestrin, 1997; Yu and Goodrich, 2014). There are many types of synaptic adhesion molecules such as NLG, NRX, SynCAM, EphrinB, LRRTM and N-cadherin. In addition, there are different subtypes and different splice variants of NRX and NLG as explained above. They are differently distributed among synapses, and some of them are co-localized at a synapse. Combination of pre- and postsynaptic adhesion molecules are likely to play critical roles in determination of synaptic properties (Levinson and El-Husseini, 2005; Dean and Dresbach, 2006; Craig and Kang, 2007; Südhof, 2008; Bukalo and Dityatev, 2012). Changing a glass-coating presynaptic adhesion molecule, adding another coating molecule, or changing cultured neuronal type might reveal synapse-type specific postsynaptic properties. Such experiments might also provide useful information about determinant molecules for functional properties of a particular type of synapse.

Over-expression of AMPAR-SEP is also artificial manipulation which could affect normal cellular processes. Overexpression of a subunit of AMPAR such as GluA1 inevitably increases its relative amount, potentially affecting normal cellular processes. Indeed, we found that GluA2-SEP behaves somewhat differently depending on whether it is co-expressed with GluA1 (Tanaka and Hirano, 2012). I also note that SEP is not small in size and could affect AMPAR functions and regulations. One way to overcome these problems is to label endogenous AMPAR with a small fluorescent molecular probe (Wakayama et al., 2017).

Development of new fluorescent proteins such as pH-sensitive red fluorescent proteins will enable us to simultaneously monitor multiple proteins, and will certainly promote the analyses (Shen et al., 2014; Martineau et al.,

2017). I would also like to note that application of super-resolution fluorescence imaging techniques, Stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM) and Photo-activated localization microscopy (PALM) in particular, were likely to match very well with analyses on PSLM, which is formed parallelly in a single focal plane (Dani et al., 2010; Maglione and Sigrist, 2013; Baddeley and Bewersdorf, 2018). STORM and PALM are used with TIRFM in most cases, and parallel formation of PSLM on the glass surface should facilitate image capture processes of STORM or PALM.

Another interesting extension of the culture method on the coated-glass surface is application to studies on presynaptic mechanisms. By coating glass surface with postsynaptic adhesion molecule, formation of presynaptic structure has been achieved (Funahashi et al., 2018). By this method, presynaptic active-zone-like membrane (AZLM) was formed on the glass surface coated with NLG, and single exocytosis event of a synaptic vesicle was visualized. Using an original experimental system, we also demonstrated fast diffusion of a synaptic vesicle protein synaptophysin tagged with SEP on the plasma membrane after membrane fusion of synaptic vesicle membrane, and also distinct distribution patterns of synchronous and asynchronous synaptic-vesicle release locations (Südhof, 2012; Kaeser and Regehr, 2014; Kavalali and Jorgensen, 2014; Maschi and Klyachko, 2017). Thus, the novel imaging preparations enabled by pre- and postsynaptic structure formation directly on the glass surface coated with a synaptic adhesion molecule combined with TIRFM, are expected to shed light on detailed molecular dynamics underlying synaptic transmission and plasticity.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TH wrote the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by a grant 18H02526 to TH from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, and a grant from Takeda Science Foundation in Japan.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Drs. S. Kawaguchi, H. Tanaka and E. Nakajima for their constructive comments on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Adesnik, H., and Nicoll, R. A. (2007). Conservation of glutamate receptor 2-containing AMPA receptors during long-term potentiation. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 4598–4602. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0325-07.2007
- Ahmad, M., Polepalli, J. S., Goswami, D., Yang, X., Kaeser-Woo, Y. J., Südhof, T. C., et al. (2012). Postsynaptic complexin controls AMPA receptor exocytosis during LTP. *Neuron* 73, 260–267. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.11.020
- Araki, Y., Lin, D.-T., and Huganir, R. L. (2010). Plasma membrane insertion of the AMPA receptor GluA2 subunit is regulated by NSF binding and Q/R editing of the ion pore. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 107, 11080–11085. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1006584107
- Ashby, M. C., De La Rue, S. A., Ralph, G. S., Uney, J., Collingridge, G. L., and Henley, J. M. (2004). Removal of AMPA receptors (AMPArs) from synapses is preceded by transient endocytosis of extrasynaptic AMPARs. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 5172–5176. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1042-04.2004
- Axelrod, D. (2001). Total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy in cell biology. *Traffic* 2, 764–774. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0854.2001.21104.x
- Baddeley, D., and Bewersdorf, J. (2018). Biological insight from super-resolution microscopy: what we can learn from localization-based images. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 87, 965–989. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-060815-014801
- Bats, C., Groc, L., and Choquet, D. (2007). The interaction between stargazin and PSD-95 regulates AMPA receptor surface trafficking. *Neuron* 53, 719–734. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2007.01.030
- Beattie, E. C., Carroll, R. C., Yu, X., Morishita, W., Yasuda, H., von Zastrow, M., et al. (2000). Regulation of AMPA receptor endocytosis by a signaling mechanism shared with LTD. *Nat. Neurosci.* 3, 1291–1300. doi: 10.1038/81823

- Biederer, T., Kaeser, P. S., and Blanpied, T. A. (2017). Transcellular nanoalignment of synaptic function. *Neuron* 96, 680–696. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2017.10.006
- Blanpied, T. A., Scott, D. B., and Ehlers, M. D. (2002). Dynamics and regulation of clathrin coats at specialized endocytic zones of dendrites and spines. *Neuron* 36, 435–449. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(02)00979-0
- Bukalo, O., and Dityatev, A. (2012). Synaptic cell adhesion molecules. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 970, 97–128. doi: 10.1007/978-3-7091-0932-8_5
- Chen, X., Levy, J. M., Hou, A., Winters, C., Azzam, R., Sousa, A. A., et al. (2015). PSD-95 family MAGUKs are essential for anchoring AMPA and NMDA receptor complexes at the postsynaptic density. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 112, E6983–E6992. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1517045112
- Collingridge, G. L., Peineau, S., Howland, J. G., and Wang, Y. T. (2010). Long-term depression in the CNS. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 11, 459–473. doi: 10.1038/nrn2867
- Craig, A. M., and Kang, Y. (2007). Neurexin-neurologin signaling in synapse development. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 17, 43–52. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2007.01.011
- Dani, A., Huang, B., Bergan, J., Dulac, C., and Zhuang, X. (2010). Superresolution imaging of chemical synapses in the brain. *Neuron* 68, 843–856. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2010.11.021
- Dean, C., and Dresbach, T. (2006). Neuroligins and neurexins: linking cell adhesion, synapse formation and cognitive function. *Trends Neurosci.* 29, 21–29. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2005.11.003
- Deng, J., and Dunaevsky, A. (2005). Dynamics of dendritic spines and their afferent terminals: spines are more motile than presynaptic boutons. *Dev. Biol.* 277, 366–377. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.09.028
- Derkach, V. A., Oh, M. C., Guire, E. S., and Soderling, T. R. (2007). Regulatory mechanisms of AMPA receptors in synaptic plasticity. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 8, 101–113. doi: 10.1038/nrn2055
- Dingledine, R., Borges, K., Bowie, D., and Traynelis, S. F. (1999). The glutamate receptor ion channels. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 51, 7–61.
- Fernández-Monreal, M., Brown, T. C., Royo, M., and Esteban, J. A. (2012). The balance between receptor recycling and trafficking toward lysosomes determines synaptic strength during long-term depression. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 13200–13205. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0061-12.2012
- Fujii, S., Tanaka, H., and Hirano, T. (2017). Detection and characterization of individual endocytosis of AMPA-type glutamate receptor around postsynaptic membrane. *Genes Cell* 22, 583–590. doi: 10.1111/gtc.12493
- Fujii, S., Tanaka, H., and Hirano, T. (2018). Suppression of AMPA receptor exocytosis contributes to hippocampal LTD. *J. Neurosci.* 38, 5523–5537. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3210-17.2018
- Funahashi, J., Tanaka, H., and Hirano, T. (2018). Visualization of synchronous or asynchronous release of single synaptic vesicle in active-zone-like membrane formed on neuroligin-coated glass surface. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 12:140. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2018.00140
- Glebov, O. O., Tigaret, C. M., Mellor, J. R., and Henley, J. M. (2015). Clathrin-independent trafficking of AMPA receptors. *J. Neurosci.* 35, 4830–4836. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3571-14.2015
- Graf, E. R., Zhang, X., Jin, S. X., Linhoff, M. W., and Craig, A. M. (2004). Neurexins induce differentiation of GABA and glutamate postsynaptic specializations via neuroligins. *Cell* 119, 1013–1026. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2004.11.035
- Gray, E. E., Fink, A. E., Sariñana, J., Vissel, B., and O'Dell, T. J. (2007). Long-term potentiation in the hippocampal CA1 region does not require insertion and activation of GluR2-lacking AMPA receptors. *J. Neurophysiol.* 98, 2488–2492. doi: 10.1152/jn.00473.2007
- Groc, L., Choquet, D., and Chaouloff, F. (2008). The stress hormone corticosterone conditions AMPAR surface trafficking and synaptic potentiation. *Nat. Neurosci.* 11, 868–870. doi: 10.1038/nn.2150
- Hayashi, Y., Shi, S. H., Esteban, J. A., Piccini, A., Poncer, J. C., and Malinow, R. (2000). Driving AMPA receptors into synapse by LTP and CaMKII: requirement for GluR1 and PDZ domain interaction. *Science* 287, 2262–2267. doi: 10.1126/science.287.5461.2262
- Hollmann, M., and Heinemann, S. (1994). Cloned glutamate receptors. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 17, 31–108. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ne.17.030194.000335
- Huganir, R. L., and Nicoll, R. A. (2013). AMPARs and synaptic plasticity: the last 25 years. *Neuron* 80, 704–717. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.10.025
- Iino, M., Ozawa, S., and Tsuzuki, K. (1990). Permeation of calcium through excitatory amino acid receptor channels in cultured rat hippocampal neurones. *J. Physiol.* 424, 151–165. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.1990.sp018060
- Jullié, D., Choquet, D., and Perraia, D. (2014). Recycling endosomes undergo rapid closure of a fusion pore on exocytosis in neuronal dendrites. *J. Neurosci.* 34, 11106–11118. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0799-14.2014
- Kaeser, P. S., and Regehr, W. G. (2014). Molecular mechanisms for synchronous, asynchronous and spontaneous neurotransmitter release. *Ann. Rev. Physiol.* 76, 333–363. doi: 10.1146/annurev-physiol-021113-170338
- Kauer, J. A., and Malenka, R. C. (2007). Synaptic plasticity and addiction. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 8, 844–858. doi: 10.1038/nrn2234
- Kavalali, E. T., and Jorgensen, E. M. (2014). Visualizing presynaptic function. *Nat. Neurosci.* 17, 10–16. doi: 10.1038/nn.3578
- Kennedy, M. J., Davison, I. G., Robinson, C. G., and Ehlers, M. D. (2010). Syntrophin-4 defines a domain for activity-dependent exocytosis in dendritic spines. *Cell* 141, 524–535. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.02.042
- Kennedy, M. J., and Ehlers, M. D. (2006). Organelles and trafficking machinery for postsynaptic plasticity. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 29, 325–362. doi: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.29.051605.112808
- Konnerth, A., Llano, I., and Armstrong, C. M. (1990). Synaptic currents in cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 87, 2662–2665. doi: 10.1073/pnas.87.7.2662
- Kopec, C. D., Li, B., Wei, W., Boehm, J., and Malinow, R. (2006). Glutamate receptor exocytosis and spine enlargement during chemically induced long-term potentiation. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 2000–2009. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3918-05.2006
- Lee, H. K., Kameyama, K., Huganir, R. L., and Bear, M. F. (1998). NMDA induces long-term synaptic depression and dephosphorylation of the GluR1 subunit of AMPA receptors in hippocampus. *Neuron* 21, 1151–1162. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80632-7
- Lee, S. H., Liu, L., Wang, Y. T., and Sheng, M. (2002). Clathrin adaptor AP2 and NSF interact with overlapping sites of GluR2 and play distinct roles in AMPA receptor trafficking and hippocampal LTD. *Neuron* 36, 661–674. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(02)01024-3
- Levinson, J. N., and El-Husseini, A. (2005). Building excitatory and inhibitory synapses: balancing neuroligin partnerships. *Neuron* 48, 171–174. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2005.09.017
- Lin, D., and Huganir, R. L. (2007). PICK1 and phosphorylation of the glutamate receptor 2 (GluR2) AMPA receptor subunit regulates GluR2 recycling after NMDA receptor-induced internalization. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 13903–13908. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1750-07.2007
- Lin, D. T., Makino, Y., Sharma, K., Hayashi, T., Neve, R., Takamiya, K., et al. (2009). Regulation of AMPA receptor extrasynaptic insertion by 4.1N, phosphorylation and palmitoylation. *Nat. Neurosci.* 12, 879–887. doi: 10.1038/nn.2351
- Lu, Y., Allen, M., Halt, A. R., Weisenhaus, M., Dallapiazza, R. F., Hall, D. D., et al. (2007). Age-dependent requirement of AKAP150-anchored PKA and GluR2-lacking AMPA receptors in LTP. *EMBO J.* 26, 4879–4890. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601884
- Lu, J., Helton, T. D., Blanpied, T. A., Rácz, B., Newpher, T. M., Weinberg, R. J., et al. (2007). Postsynaptic positioning of endocytic zones and AMPA receptor cycling by physical coupling of dynamin-3 to Homer. *Neuron* 55, 874–889. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2007.06.041
- Maglione, M., and Sigrist, S. J. (2013). Seeing the forest tree by tree: super-resolution light microscopy meets the neurosciences. *Nat. Neurosci.* 16, 790–797. doi: 10.1038/nn.3403
- Makino, H., and Malinow, R. (2009). AMPA receptor incorporation into synapses during LTP: the role of lateral movement and exocytosis. *Neuron* 64, 381–390. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2009.08.035
- Malinow, R., and Malenka, R. C. (2002). AMPA receptor trafficking and synaptic plasticity. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 25, 103–126. doi: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.25.112701.142758
- Martineau, M., Somasundaram, A., Grimm, J. B., Gruber, T. D., Choquet, D., Taraska, J. W., et al. (2017). Semisynthetic fluorescent pH sensors for imaging exocytosis and endocytosis. *Nat. Commun.* 8:1412. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01752-5
- Maschi, D., and Klyachko, V. A. (2017). Spatiotemporal regulation of synaptic vesicle fusion sites in central synapses. *Neuron* 94, 65–73.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2017.03.006
- Merrifield, C. J., Perraia, D., and Zenisek, D. (2005). Coupling between clathrin-coated-pit invagination, cortactin recruitment and membrane

- scission observed in live cells. *Cell* 121, 593–606. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.03.015
- Miesenböck, G., De Angelis, D. A., and Rothman, J. E. (1998). Visualizing secretion and synaptic transmission with pH-sensitive green fluorescent proteins. *Nature* 394, 192–195. doi: 10.1038/28190
- Miyawaki, H., and Hirano, T. (2011). Different correlations among physiological and morphological properties at single glutamatergic synapses in the rat hippocampus and the cerebellum. *Synapse* 65, 412–423. doi: 10.1002/syn.20860
- Opazo, P., and Choquet, D. (2011). A three-step model for the synaptic recruitment of AMPA receptors. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 46, 1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.mcn.2010.08.014
- Opazo, P., Labrecque, S., Tigaret, C. M., Froulin, A., Wiseman, P. W., Koninck, P. D., et al. (2010). CaMKII triggers the diffusional trapping of surface AMPARs through phosphorylation of stargazin. *Neuron* 67, 239–252. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2010.06.007
- Opazo, P., Sainlos, M., and Choquet, D. (2012). Regulation of AMPA receptor surface diffusion by PSD-95 slots. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 22, 453–460. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2011.10.010
- Park, M., Salgado, J. M., Ostroff, L., Helton, T. D., Robinson, C. G., Harris, K. M., et al. (2006). Plasticity-induced growth of dendritic spines by exocytic trafficking from recycling endosomes. *Neuron* 52, 817–830. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2006.09.040
- Paroutis, P., Touret, N., and Grinstein, S. (2004). The pH of the secretory pathway: measurement, determinants and regulation. *Physiology* 19, 207–215. doi: 10.1152/physiol.00005.2004
- Passafaro, M., Piëch, V., and Sheng, M. (2001). Subunit-specific temporal and spatial patterns of AMPA receptor exocytosis in hippocampal neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.* 4, 917–926. doi: 10.1038/nn0901-917
- Patterson, M. A., Sztatmari, E. M., and Yasuda, R. (2010). AMPA receptors are exocytosed in stimulated spines and adjacent dendrites in a Ras-ERK-dependent manner during long-term potentiation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 107, 15951–15956. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0913875107
- Plant, K., Pelkey, K. A., Bortolotto, Z. A., Morita, D., Terashima, A., McBain, C. J., et al. (2006). Transient incorporation of native GluR2-lacking AMPA receptors during hippocampal long-term potentiation. *Nat. Neurosci.* 9, 602–604. doi: 10.1038/nn1678
- Pouzat, C., and Hestrin, S. (1997). Developmental regulation of basket/stellate cell→Purkinje cell synapses in the cerebellum. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 9104–9112. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.17-23-09104.1997
- Rathje, M., Fang, H., Bachman, J. L., Anggono, V., Gether, U., Huganir, R. L., et al. (2013). AMPA receptor pHluorin-GluA2 reports NMDA receptor-induced intracellular acidification in hippocampal neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 110, 14426–14431. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1312982110
- Rosendale, M., Jullié, D., Choquet, D., and Perrais, D. (2017). Spatial and temporal regulation of receptor endocytosis in neuronal dendrites revealed by imaging of single vesicle formation. *Cell Rep.* 18, 1840–1847. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.01.081
- Sanderson, J. L., Gorski, J. A., and Dell'Acqua, M. L. (2016). NMDA receptor-dependent LTD requires transient synaptic incorporation of Ca²⁺-permeable AMPARs mediated by AKAP150-anchored PKA and calcineurin. *Neuron* 89, 1000–1015. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2016.01.043
- Scheiffele, P., Fan, J., Choih, J., Fetter, R., and Serafini, T. (2000). Neuroligin expressed in nonneuronal cells triggers presynaptic development in contacting axons. *Cell* 101, 657–669. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80877-6
- Shen, Y., Rosendale, M., Campbell, R. E., and Perrais, D. (2014). pHuji, a pH-sensitive red fluorescent protein for imaging of exo- and endocytosis. *J. Cell Biol.* 207, 419–432. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201404107
- Shepherd, J. D., and Huganir, R. L. (2007). The cell biology of synaptic plasticity: AMPA receptor trafficking. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 23, 613–643. doi: 10.1146/annurev.cellbio.23.090506.123516
- Shi, S. H., Hayashi, Y., Petralia, R. S., Zaman, S. H., Wenthold, R. J., Svoboda, K., et al. (1999). Rapid spine delivery and redistribution of AMPA receptors after synaptic NMDA receptor activation. *Science* 284, 1811–1816. doi: 10.1126/science.284.5421.1811
- Südhof, T. C. (2008). Neuroligins and neuroligins link synaptic function to cognitive disease. *Nature* 455, 903–911. doi: 10.1038/nature07456
- Südhof, T. C. (2012). The presynaptic active zone. *Neuron* 75, 11–25. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.06.012
- Tanaka, H., Fujii, S., and Hirano, T. (2014). Live-cell imaging of receptors around postsynaptic membranes. *Nat. Protoc.* 9, 76–89. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2013.171
- Tanaka, H., and Hirano, T. (2012). Visualization of subunit-specific delivery of glutamate receptors to postsynaptic membrane during hippocampal long-term potentiation. *Cell Rep.* 1, 291–298. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2012.02.004
- Tao-Cheng, J. H., Crocker, V. T., Winters, C. A., Azzam, R., Chludzinski, J., and Reese, T. S. (2011). Trafficking of AMPA receptors at plasma membranes of hippocampal neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 4834–4843. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4745-10.2011
- Temkin, P., Morishita, W., Goswami, D., Arendt, K., Chen, L., and Malenka, R. (2017). The retromer supports AMPA receptor trafficking during LTP. *Neuron* 94, 74–82.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2017.03.020
- Wakayama, S., Kiyonaka, S., Arai, I., Kakegawa, W., Matsuda, S., Ibata, K., et al. (2017). Chemical labelling for visualizing native AMPA receptors in live neurons. *Nat. Commun.* 8:14850. doi: 10.1038/ncomms14850
- Wang, C., Fu, G., Wang, J., Wang, Y., Cheng, Y., and Xu, Z. Z. (2008). Direct visualization of the dynamics of membrane-anchor proteins in living cells. *J. Microsc.* 229, 67–77. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2818.2007.01865.x
- Wu, D., Bacaj, T., Morishita, W., Goswami, D., Arendt, K. L., Xu, W., et al. (2017). Postsynaptic synaptotagmins mediate AMPA receptor exocytosis during LTP. *Nature* 544, 316–321. doi: 10.1038/nature21720
- Yu, W. M., and Goodrich, L. V. (2014). Morphological and physiological development of auditory synapses. *Hear. Res.* 311, 3–16. doi: 10.1016/j.heares.2014.01.007
- Yudowski, G. A., Puthenveedu, M. A., Leonoudakis, D., Panicker, S., Thorn, K. S., Beattie, E. C., et al. (2007). Real-time imaging of discrete exocytic events mediating surface delivery of AMPA receptors. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 11112–11121. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2465-07.2007
- Zheng, N., Jeyifous, O., Munro, C., Montgomery, J. M., and Green, W. N. (2015). Synaptic activity regulates AMPA receptor trafficking through different recycling pathways. *Elife* 4:e06878. doi: 10.7554/eLife.06878

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Hirano. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.